

Alberto Quintanilla

From: John Hinds <jlhinds@cs.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2020 6:09 PM
To: CClerk
Cc: Jenny Kassan; Lily Mei; Raj Salwan; Rick Jones - Councilmember; Teresa Keng; Vinnie Bacon; Yang Shao
Subject: July 21 Council Meeting, Item 2F, IRVINGTON BART STATION

Dear Ms. Gauthier,

1. Please pull this item from the Consent Calendar, for separate discussion: IRVINGTON BART STATION.
2. If this email is not sufficient, please let me know what other steps I should take. (It would be sad to get lost in Zoom lag-times.)
3. Below are the comments I plan to make on this item at the meeting:

On the wall of the Council Chambers is a large Seal of Fremont, showing markers for the five little towns who jointly created this city. It is worth noting that they did this as a defensive move, to prevent their being swallowed up by those distant, uncaring strangers in Hayward.

To repeat, the City of Fremont *exists* so that those five little towns could preserve their individuality.

What's happened in the years since is that they are being swallowed up anyway. Old Warm Springs is hard even to find today. Centerville still exists, but is being rapidly overshadowed or obliterated as we speak. Irvington still exists, but we've all seen the plan -- it is *going* to be obliterated, not because it has done anyone harm, but because it has the great misfortune of being located close to this planned BART station.

Before voting, please tell us

- Who benefits from this new BART station?
- Could they not benefit from some other plan instead?

Thank you.
John Hinds

Alberto Quintanilla

From: CClerk
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:15 AM
To: John Hinds
Subject: RE: July 21 Council Meeting, Item 2F, IRVINGTON BART STATION
Attachments: ATTENDING VIRTUAL MEETINGS_2020-07-21.pdf

Hello,

Your correspondence has been received. Documents will be distributed to the City Council and uploaded to the City website before the meeting and will be considered part of the public record.

Please find attached instructions on how to provide live public comment at tomorrow's City Council meeting.

Office of the City Clerk

510.284.4060 | cclerk@fremont.gov
3300 Capitol Ave, Bldg. A
Fremont CA 94538
www.fremont.gov

From: John Hinds <jlhinds@cs.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2020 6:09 PM
To: CClerk <CClerk@fremont.gov>
Cc: Jenny Kassan <JKassan@fremont.gov>; Lily Mei <lmei@fremont.gov>; Raj Salwan <RSalwan@fremont.gov>; Rick Jones - Councilmember <councilmemberjones@fremont.gov>; Teresa Keng <TKeng@fremont.gov>; Vinnie Bacon <VBacon@fremont.gov>; Yang Shao <YShao@fremont.gov>
Subject: July 21 Council Meeting, Item 2F, IRVINGTON BART STATION

Dear Ms. Gauthier,

1. Please pull this item from the Consent Calendar, for separate discussion: IRVINGTON BART STATION.
2. If this email is not sufficient, please let me know what other steps I should take. (It would be sad to get lost in Zoom lag-times.)
3. Below are the comments I plan to make on this item at the meeting:

On the wall of the Council Chambers is a large Seal of Fremont, showing markers for the five little towns who jointly created this city. It is worth noting that they did this as a defensive move, to prevent their being swallowed up by those distant, uncaring strangers in Hayward.

To repeat, the City of Fremont *exists* so that those five little towns could preserve their individuality.

What's happened in the years since is that they are being swallowed up anyway. Old Warm Springs is hard even to find today. Centerville still exists, but is being rapidly overshadowed or obliterated as we speak. Irvington still exists, but we've all seen the plan -- it is *going* to be obliterated, not because it has done anyone harm, but because it has the great misfortune of being located close to this planned BART station.

Before voting, please tell us

- Who benefits from this new BART station?
- Could they not benefit from some other plan instead?

Alberto Quintanilla

From: The Cavettes <thecavettes@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 12:53 PM
To: CClerk
Cc: Jim Pierson; Hans Larsen
Subject: 7/21/20 Consent Item 2F - Irvington BART

RE: Consent Item 2F - Irvington BART

-- An Irvington BART station is unneeded and unwanted.

-- A Residential Parking Permit Program is really unwanted.

-- Parking in nearby commercial lots will not be controlled.

-- BART's track record (no pun intended) on completing new stations shows costs to finalize an Irvington BART station will skyrocket.

-- Fremont may be paying only a part of the station implementation costs but taxpayers pay state, county, city and BART sales taxes.

Residents of Fremont will be paying for this in the end.

-- COVID-19 has walloped us economically as well as in health. For the foreseeable future, we just cannot afford to finance unneeded and unwanted projects.

-- Other needed infrastructure projects should be financed before an Irvington BART station.

An Irvington BART station is unneeded and unwanted. Please stop this project NOW!

Alice Cavette