

Alberto Quintanilla

From: Jonathan Hawes <jonathanhaweselmonte@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 9:50 AM
To: CClerk
Subject: Public comment for next city council meeting

Good evening. My name is Jonathan Hawes. I served as El Monte City Clerk from 2013 to 2018. Since 2015 I have been a whistleblower on Andre Quintero and Team El Monte's embezzlement of \$10 million from the El Monte Promise Foundation scholarship fund. I have interviewed dozens of El Monte residents who have privately confirmed that Promise funds were used for fraudulent trips to Vietnam and Haiti, a bogus consultant in Salt Lake City, house repairs, and other criminal activities. I have myself been interviewed by the FBI about two dozen times. Documents proving the embezzlement have been submitted to the FBI and the District Attorney's Office and are now available to the public online (https://drive.google.com/file/d/1v434alh1dkikaj4TCNZLMsZkhftmtA8f/view?usp=drive_web). I am asking the Fremont City Council to call out these crimes and protect the vulnerable residents of one of the poorest cities in southern California. El Monte children, who should've been provided with college scholarships, were robbed. Andre Quintero and Team El Monte members must go to prison. If you have any questions, please call or text me at (626) 863-2149. Thank you.

Alberto Quintanilla

From: b. beekman <cranberrysauce23@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 1:31 PM
To: CClerk; Teresa Keng; Rick Jones - Councilmember; Jenny Kassan; Yang Shao; Raj Salwan; Teresa Cox; Kim Petersen; Lily Mei; Clerk of the Board; Hans Larsen; Eric Hu; dcampbell@fremont.k12.ca.us; Dianne Jones; surfboard@fremont.k12.ca.us; Vivek Prasad; Yajing Zhang
Subject: Blair Beekman__FUSD Board of Education. Public Meeting. January 20, 2021.

Dear FUSD, Fremont City government, and community,

A few thoughts, from the Jan. 20, FUSD Brd.Mtg.

In what may have been, similar patterns - to follow the previous, recent examples, of East Bay Community Energy, the VTA, and Caltrain, of the past year - may be helpful ways, to address, the current FUSD \$18 million shortfall.

In this time of Covid-19, I think, there can be, state funding help, that would not have to place, the FUSD, under Ca. state control.

To also note - as lower enrollment numbers, of FUSD schools, over the next few years, were also described, at the Jan.20, FUSD Brd. meeting.

I offered, this in part, may be due, to a large seismic event, an earthquake - that may be taking place, in the Bay Area, in the next 5-10 years.

To put it simply, I may need some help, in better understanding the differences, between, the actual, and the misunderstood, with these questions above.

Friendly dialogue & conversation, and in learning how to answer, these asks for clarity, are the creative efforts, of good human relationships, within a healthy community process.

And should be considered, as part of, the open, democratic, creative, innovative, sustainable process, of what makes, an overall healthy community.

I feel, it is important to learn, how to work towards, more honest, better reasoned, human ideals, dialogue, and narratives, in the public process.

I try to speak, at public comment, of many local Bay Area city councils, and local govt. agencies.

I would like to learn, how to simply help add, to this conversation, in reasoned, good terms, that may already be taking place. And to better learn, how disaster preparation, should often be a part of, city government planning.

To have, factual, good understandings, is important, at this time.

Thank you, as always, for allowing my viewpoints, ideas, and feelings, to this open public process. And what I hope can be, a good working relationship, between us.

I hope we can work, towards, open good dialogue and conversation, with these issues & questions, in the next few months.

sincerely,
blair beekman

Alberto Quintanilla

From: b. beekman <cranberrysauce23@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 1:31 PM
To: CClerk; Teresa Keng; Rick Jones - Councilmember; Jenny Kassan; Yang Shao; Raj Salwan; Teresa Cox; Kim Petersen; Lily Mei; Hans Larsen; Eric Hu; Dianne Jones; surfboarde@fremont.k12.ca.us; Vivek Prasad; Yajing Zhang; Gisela Jara; John Harnett; Lindsay Mendez; dcampbell@fremont.k12.ca.us
Subject: Blair Beekman. February 2, 2021. FUSD SRO Task Force Recommendations.

Dear FUSD, Fremont City government, and community,

To offer a revised letter, from last week. And to speak to, the current dialogue & negotiations, between the FUSD, and the City of Fremont, about the future of SRO Task Force recommendations.

We are at a time, of better studies, legal precedents, and examples, of how more open, inclusive, democratic practices, can begin to address, our better human ideals.

These are the developing ideas, of peace, better accountability & sustainability, that among other things - can question how to end, u.s. continual war, its violence, and its extremism.

Along with, new ideas of equity & reimagine, this can all be, a part of our better human ideals & practices, that I feel, most people can respect & agree with, at some level.

The FUSD SRO Task Force was created, with a specific purpose. And in relation to, these ideals above.

It is time to begin, to better organize, the initial good purpose & intentions, of the SRO task force recommendations. Its Safety Committee ideas, can be incredibly promising.

A Safety Committee, with a specific focus, of equity & reimagine ideas, should be able, to facilitate & study questions, of how to eventually end, the SRO process, over the next few years.

And that, can also address, other important issues, of the FUSD, and community.

From these beginnings, all parts of the community, can learn to participate. And offer, a specific set of questions & input, related to Safety Committee study questions.

Addressing future SRO questions, can be, a well-structured, community study process. And that, can eventually ask, all parts of the community - how to work toward a future, of peace & sustainability.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

Alberto Quintanilla

From: dukfinder dukfinder <dukfinder@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 5:43 PM
To: citycouncil
Subject: Council Meeting Feb 2, 2021 Item 4.a
Attachments: Capture.JPG

To the Mayor and Council,

I submitted a request to file a notice of violation of CEQA on your proposal to add a sidewalk on Rancho Arroyo Parkway. I submitted the information Jan 1, 2021 and was reminded there was a deadline, indicating the City believed time should be of the essence and that my request to file the violation notice had been forwarded to the Public Works Department.

To date, I have received no response (4 weeks and counting).

The paper trail of the exchange is attached to this email.

Also, in tonight's agenda there is an item on the consent calendar (Item 2.e funding for Seal & Slurry projects) which provides a very concise definition published in the staff report (also attached to this email in case you missed it).

According to the City, there are absolutely no provisions for these funds to be used under the pretense of maintenance;
Adding sidewalks
Rezoning Streets
Increasing parking
Violating ordinances

We have asked for 3 years now how in any way shape or form are these changes legal and necessary for a historic area that was due for street maintenance only, Rancho Arroyo Parkway. We have received minimum correspondance supposedly addressing the issues, but the City merely reiterates that apparently employees can make irrational and illegal changes under the City Plan and Safe and Secure streets without any limitations. Again, I can't find that in either document and we beseech you to actually answer and address the serious issues that the residents of the area have brought to your attention.

Further showing lack of concern for additional laws and orders, the City was not aware of the lifting of the time limit under emergency status issued by the Governor to file a violation under CEQA exemptions.

Fremont violated ordinances, failed to abide by contract law, failed to accurately address the issues, degraded a historic area, and damaged the safe reputation the neighborhood possessed.

No further action should be forced on Rancho Arroyo Parkway until the City understands the scope and purpose they are operating under and corrects their dangerous error.

Sharon Scharff

From: [CClerk](#)

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 12:08 PM

To: [dukfinder dukfinder](#)

Subject: RE: CEQA Appeal

I have forwarded your emails to Public Works staff. They will provide you a more detailed response.

Alberto Quintanilla

Assistant City Clerk | CITY OF FREMONT

3300 Capitol Ave, Bldg A | Fremont CA 94538

510.284.4009 | aquintanilla@fremont.gov

From: dukfinder dukfinder <dukfinder@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 11:04 AM

To: CClerk <CClerk@fremont.gov>

Subject: RE: CEQA Appeal

Thanks for getting back to me. The project is Niles BLVD/Rancho Arroyo Project. Specifically the addition of a sidewalk exceeds the CEQA exemption parameters and is an expansion of existing facilities, materially impairs a historic resource, and violates existing ordinances. This project should be considered on a case by case basis and be subject to due process.

Please note; you refer to a 10 day appeal window; under California's State of Emergency regulations Rule 9 tolls the statutes of limitation for all civil causes of action, including CEQA appeals, until 90 days after the Governor declares that the state of emergency related to the COVID 19 pandemic is lifted.

From information on the website, I believe the appeal will go to City Council but it was unclear on what format or form would apply.

Thank you,
Sharon Scharff

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [CClerk](#)

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 12:08 PM

To: [dukfinder dukfinder](#)

Subject: RE: CEQA Appeal

I have forwarded your emails to Public Works staff. They will provide you a more detailed response.

Alberto Quintanilla

Assistant City Clerk | CITY OF FREMONT

3300 Capitol Ave, Bldg A | Fremont CA 94538

510.284.4009 | aquintanilla@fremont.gov

From: dukfinder dukfinder <dukfinder@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 11:04 AM

To: CClerk <CClerk@fremont.gov>

Subject: RE: CEQA Appeal

Thanks for getting back to me. The project is Niles BLVD/Rancho Arroyo Project. Specifically the addition of a sidewalk exceeds the CEQA exemption parameters and is an expansion of existing facilities, materially impairs a historic resource, and violates existing ordinances. This project should be considered on a case by case basis and be subject to due process.

Please note; you refer to a 10 day appeal window; under California's State of Emergency regulations Rule 9 tolls the statutes of limitation for all civil causes of action, including CEQA appeals, until 90 days after the Governor declares that the state of emergency related to the COVID 19 pandemic is lifted.

From information on the website, I believe the appeal will go to City Council but it was unclear on what format or form would apply.

Thank you,
Sharon Scharff

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS: Funds from CalRecycle's Rubberized Pavement Grant Program are paid on a reimbursement basis at a rate of \$1.00 per square yard of chip seal used on street pavement maintenance projects, up to a maximum of \$250,000. If awarded, the funds would be applied towards the City's annual Cape and Slurry Seal project. A cape seal is a treatment that first applies a chip seal followed by a slurry seal to a pavement surface. Staff proposes one or two separate Cape and Slurry Seal projects that will apply rubberized chip seal to a select number of streets amounting to 250,000 square yards of pavement surface in the summers of 2021 and 2022. Proposing at least two separate projects over a period of two years in the application would allow the City to apply for the maximum grant amount of \$250,000.

Updated: 1/28/2021 4:24 PM by Alberto Quintanilla

Page 1

Package

Staff Report (ID # 4258)

Meeting of February 2, 2021

Staff plans to complete its submission of the grant applications to CalRecycle for the FY 2020/21 Rubberized Pavement Grant Program by the application deadline of February 22, 2021. Final report on the use of the 2020/21 grant funds must be submitted to CalRecycle no later than April 1, 2023 when the grant term ends. Staff proposes to apply for \$250,000 in grant funds from the Rubberized Pavement Grant Program (FY 2020/21) for the City's Cape and Slurry Seal Projects (PWC 8195). The upcoming Cape and Slurry Seal projects will be funded predominantly from Alameda County Measure B and Measure BB funds. Staff recommends that the City Council adopt the resolution and authorize the City Manager or designee to execute agreements if said grants are awarded by the CalRecycle.

FISCAL IMPACT: The recommended actions create an opportunity for the City to receive \$250,000 grants for pavement maintenance projects.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS: Funds from CalRecycle's Rubberized Pavement Grant Program are provided on a reimbursement basis at a rate of \$1.00 per square yard of chip seal used on pavement maintenance projects, up to a maximum of \$250,000. If awarded, the grant would be applied towards the City's annual Cape and Slurry Seal project. A cape seal treatment that first applies a chip seal followed by a slurry seal to a pavement surface. The project proposes one or two separate Cape and Slurry Seal projects that will apply rubberized chip seal to a select number of streets amounting to 250,000 square yards of pavement over the summers of 2021 and 2022. Proposing at least two separate projects over a period of two years in the application would allow the City to apply for the maximum grant amount of \$250,000.

Created: 1/28/2021 4:24 PM by Alberto Quintanilla

Page 1

Packet

Report (ID # 4258)

Meeting of February 2, 2021

The City plans to complete its submission of the grant applications to CalRecycle for the FY 2021 Rubberized Pavement Grant Program by the application deadline of February 22, 2021. Final report on the use of the 2020/21 grant funds must be submitted to CalRecycle no later than April 1, 2023 when the grant term ends. Staff proposes to apply for \$250,000 in grant funds from the Rubberized Pavement Grant Program (FY 2020/21) for the City's Cape and Slurry Seal Projects (PWC 8195). The upcoming Cape and Slurry Seal projects are funded predominantly from Alameda County Measure B and Measure BB funds. Staff recommends that the City Council adopt the resolution and authorize the City Manager or City Attorney to execute agreements if said grants are awarded by the CalRecycle.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: The recommended actions create an opportunity for the City to receive up to \$250,000 grants for pavement maintenance projects.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: No environmental review is required because the recommended actions are fiscal and administrative in nature and do not constitute "a project" as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15378. Actions undertaken to approve construction of pavement maintenance projects related to this item will be considered for appropriate environmental review.